



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,240	12/05/2000	Krishna Bharat	0026-0001	4725
44989	7590	09/09/2004	EXAMINER	
HARRITY & SNYDER, LLP 11240 WAPLES MILL ROAD SUITE 300 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	11
		2175		
DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/729,240	BHARAT ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Tony Mahmoudi	2175

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Brian Ledell (Attorney of Record). (3) Tony Mahmoudi.  
 (2) Sam Rimell. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nanjo et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,778,361) and Pant et al (U.S. Patent N. 6,012,053).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The attorney of record gave a brief explanation of the invention and stated that the cited references did not teach the term "semantic units" as meant by the present claims. The examiner indicated that the term "semantic units" was interpreted to mean "units", words, or phrases that relate to a document and/or a topic. Further, the examiner stated that based on the definition of "semantic units" provided in the applicant's arguments filed on 23-March-2004 ("semantic unit refers to multiple terms that are considered to function as a 'compound' that forms a single semantically meaningful unit"), Nanjo et al satisfies the limitation in column 5, lines 23-32, where "semantic unit" is read on "text in compound-word languages", and also in column 8, lines 21-33, where "combination of text strings" is discussed. The "finality" of the Office Action, submitted on 28-May-2004 (paper No. 10) is maintained by the examiner.